

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/777,976	02/06/2001	Wesley M. Enroth	EN9-98-072US2	3360	
5409 75	90 07/03/2002				
ARLEN L. OLSEN SCHMEISER, OLSEN & WATTS 3 LEAR JET LANE		EXAMINER			
			SMITH, SEAI	SMITH, SEAN PRENTISS	
SUITE 201 LATHAM, NY 12110			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			3729	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/03/2001	DATE MAILED: 07/02/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/777,976	JEONG ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
,		TySean P Smith	3729		
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply 	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 N	Nav 2002 .			
2a)□		s action is non-final.			
3)	/		osecution as to the merits is		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5.	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) datent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 recites "forming a pair of first and second electrodes: It is not clear to the exact number of electrodes that are formed on the side ends of the assembly. As best understood the examiner has taken the pair to mean two electrodes formed on one end of the assembly.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 3729

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatenable over Hashimoto et al in view of Igarashi et al. Igarashi discloses a varistor chip comprising: a number of conductive pattern layers stacked between upper and lower portions in a ceramic body (2,3); forming a pair of first and second outer electrodes surrounding the ends of the varistor chip to be connected to the inner electrodes (4, 6); forming a mask for preventing glass from being penetrated toward the inner electrodes wherein a polymer is used on the lower end of the first electrode (5); dipping the first outer electrode into a glass paste (column 12 lines 6-7); flowing the glass in a thermal treatment (column 12 lines 6-19).

Regarding claims 8 -16 where Igarashi is relied upon as above for disclosing a chip varistor. Igarashi discloses the material of the glass paste and the electrode. Igarashi fails to disclose the exact glass material and the paste. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made that the particulars of the material being at a certain percentile is clearly a matter of design choice. The selection of material in order to make the electrode is also clearly a design choice since no significant problems are solved. It appears that the prior art material would work equally as well versus material of different composition such.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3729

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TySean P Smith whose telephone number is 703-305-0831. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 6:30 AM - 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 703-308-1789. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3579 for regular communications and 703-308-7058 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

SS July 1, 2002

A. A.